

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/898,495

Applicant(s)

HAMAMOTO ET AL.

Examiner

Julie K Smith

Art Unit

3682

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Julie K Smith.

(3) Chong Kim.

(2) Jeffrey A. Schmidt.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 01 September 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-9.

Identification of prior art discussed: Vena et al, Aramaki et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Julie K Smith  
7/1/04

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Chong Kim  
Primary Examiner

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant presented arguments regarding the Veno et al. reference stating that Veno et al. does not disclose the claimed resin and fiber combination. Examiner holds position on claims 1-7, however will reconsider rejection of claims 8 and 9. Applicant to respond in due time.